## Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/20 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000600010222-0

	INFO	RMATION ES	EPORT	50X
COUNTRY	Czechoslovakia		DATE DISTR. /4 Feb 1952	
SUBJECT	Communist Purges	SECRET	NO. OF PAGES 3	
PLACE ACQUIRED			NO. OF ENCLS.	
DATE ACQUIRED			SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.	50X1
DATE OF INF				JOOKT
OF THE UNITED STA	STAIRS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONS STASS, BITHEN THE MEANING OF TETLE 186 STO U.S. CODE, AS EMINATED, I.S. TRANSMISSION STRINGS TO UP RECEIPT BY AN UNAUTHORPIES	71045 793	THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION	

1. The September 1951 shake-up within the Czech Communist Party was the climax of a long-standing disagreement of policy administration between two groups in the Czeth CP hierarchy. One group was composed of the moderates, such as Gottwald, Zapotocky, Dolansky, Siroky and Norek; the other clique made up of the more radical element, was led by Rudolf Slansky and included Frank, Geminder and Bares. Both factions were

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION SEC ET/SECURITY INFORMATION

STATE EUX X	DISTRIBUTION		
X X AEC X	FBIX		

50X1-HUM

SECRET

completely loyal to stoked. And half and the loss goal the complete Sovietimation of Creacht to difference between the two groups lay in the tode hey advocated to attain this goal. President Cotto if and his backers favored taking one step at a time, each time consolidating gains; they also had more regard for Cacch institutions and the feelings of the people. Slansky and his radical followers favored a more rapid, ruthless pace; they were determined to complete the Sovietization process as quickly as possible, particularly with regard to agricultural collectivization. This met with bitter opposition and resentment on the part of the farmers. The more they were prodded, the less they produced; quotas weren't filled, and collectivization simply didn't work out. Shops could be shut down and forced out of business; this couldn't be done to the farmers. Nevertheless, Slansky and Co advocated a quick change-over, regardless of the economic hardships brought about as a result of their methods.

2. In 1948 Slansky was the man of the hour in Czechoslovakia, rivaling Geminder in popularity. This was true until October 1950 when Marie Svermova, at that time a deputy Scoretary General of the Czech Communist Party, was arrested and charged with attempting a coup d'etat against Gottwald and Slansky. Slansky spoke at the meeting of the CP chairmanship in February 1951 and compared the Svermova and Sling cases. \_\_\_\_\_\_ never thought that there was any relation between the two; Slansky's charges at the time were ridiculous. Later in 1951, Slansky acted as main spokesman of the Czech Communist Party at a meeting of the leaders of the Slovak CF. In the meantime, the purges had continued, embracing not only Syermova and Sling (District Communist Party Chairman for Brno), but also Clementis, (fmu) Fuchs (District CP Chairman for Brno), but also Clementis, (fmu) Fuchs (District CP Chairman for the CF in Bratislava, Lousky (CP Chairman for Pizen), and the District CP Chairman in Usti nad Labem \_\_\_\_\_\_ The conflict between the Gottwald and Slansky factions grew apace \_\_\_\_\_ do not believe, however, that anyone closely connected with Slansky was purged before

3. The conflict between Slansky and Gottwald was sharpened by the September reorganization of the CP, and Slansky's subsequent demission.

Gottwald had succeeded in persuading Moscow that Slansky was of no further use. Gottwald had charged Slansky with negligence; one of his supporting arguments was the fact that Slansky had been Secretary General of the Czech CP when both Arthur London and Col Pavel were appointed. (The responsibility for appointments to important government positions rests ultimately with the CP Secretary General.) London (Vice Minister for Foreign Affairs) and Pavel (Deputy Minister of Interior) had been arrested in February or March 1951. Slansky's self-renunciation and "confession" shortly before his arrest were, of course, mandatory, but they may have been bona fide. Once one starts slipping from a position of prominence, he is attacked by more and more people; for this reason also Slansky was doomed. Various persons throughout the government who may

September 1951 "

SECRET

have given Siansky's name as reference are undoubtedly

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM 50X1-HUM 50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

SECHLARY SECUL

COLECT

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

-3

4. Events had followed the usual Communist pattern of first doing away with the supporters so that there would be not one left to defend the leader. Arrests started with Bruno Koehler, former chief of the CP's cadre system, and included Joief Frank, Gustav Bares, Bedrich Geminder (all Slansky's former deputies); Richard Slansky, brother of Rudolf and chief of the Press Section of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, was arrested late in November 1951, just prior to Rudolf Slansky's arrest and Gottwald's announcement of the conspiracy. (It was inevitable that Richard Slansky should be dismissed, as he owed his position to his brother; he may have been succeeded by Jiri Meissner, "Rude Prava" correspondent at the UN session in Paris.) Those listed above were generally regarded as Slansky's followers; not only were they his main support, they held important positions.

recent events prove that President Gottwald is, in reality, the strong man in Czechoslovakia, that he always has been, and that the West has considerably underrated hir character, position, and ability to win political battles --- with Moscow's backing.

-end-

SECRET/DECURITY INFORMATION